

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
DUBLIN DIVISION**

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
AUGUSTA DIV.

2012 NOV 13 A 9 55

CLERK C. Adams
SO. DIST. OF GA.

WILLIAM H. CARTER
Plaintiff

vs

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS
Defendant

Case No: 3:11-cv-00107

JUDICIAL NOTICE


All officers of the court for the United States District Court, Southern District of Georgia are hereby placed on notice under authority of the supremacy and equal protection clauses of the United States Constitution and the common law authorities of *Haines v Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, *Platsky v. C.I.A.* 953 F.2d 25, and *Anastasoff v. United States*, 223 F.3d 898 (8th Cir. 2000) relying on *Willy v. Coastal Corp.*, 503 U.S. 131, 135 (1992), "*United States v. International Business Machines Corp.*, 517 U.S. 843, 856 (1996), quoting *Payne v. Tennessee*, 501 U.S. 808, 842 (1991) (Souter, J., concurring). *Trinsey v. Pagliaro*, D.C. Pa. 1964, 229 F. Supp. 647, *American Red Cross v. Community Blood Center of the Ozarks*, 257 F.3d 859 (8th Cir. 07/25/2001).

In re *Haines*: pro se litigants (Plaintiff is a pro se litigant) are held to less stringent pleading standards than BAR registered attorneys. Regardless of the deficiencies in their pleadings, pro se litigants are entitled to the opportunity to submit evidence in support of their

claims. In re Platsky: court errs if court dismisses the pro se litigant (Plaintiff is a pro se litigant) without instruction of how pleadings are deficient and how to repair pleadings. In re Anastasoff: litigants' constitutional rights are violated when courts depart from precedent where parties are similarly situated. All litigants have a constitutional right to have their claims adjudicated according the rule of precedent. See Anastasoff v. United States, 223 F.3d 898 (8th Cir. 2000). Statements of counsel, in their briefs or their arguments are not sufficient for a motion to dismiss or for summary judgment, Trinsey v. Pagliaro, D.C. Pa. 1964, 229 F. Supp. 647.

Dated November 12, 2012

Respectfully Submitted,


William H. Carter
311 Bethel Street
Eastman, Georgia 31023
478-689-0708
willcarter777@yahoo.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
DUBLIN DIVISION

WILLIAM H. CARTER
Plaintiff

vs

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS
Defendant

)
)
)
) Case No: CV 311-107
)
)
)
)
)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have mailed copies of the above document(s) by first class mail USPS to all parties listed below.

Dated: 11-13-2012

Respectfully Submitted,

William H. Carter
William H. Carter
311 Bethel Street
Eastman, Georgia
31023

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.
C/O Glenn E. Jones
Hall, Booth, Smith & Slover, P.C.
3528 Darien Highway, Suite 300
Brunswick, Georgia 31525